

PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

ANNUAL REPORT

Shetland Islands Council

2021

Shetland Islands Council report for 2020-2021 is set out below. As you will note, it was a difficult year, with significant staff shortages and increasing workloads making if it rather difficult to achieve all that we would wish. These factors have also contributed to our late submission.

But we have still achieved significant progress in many areas, whilst still delivering a quality service that is appreciated by our Councillors, our community and colleagues.

Our commitment to working with the community, developers and our Councillors is demonstrated in our case studies.

I do think that my colleagues in the Planning Service should be applauded for their continuing efforts to deliver a high quality service in difficult circumstances.



Case Study Title:

Developing Planning Protocols – Marine Planning & Natural Heritage

Location and Dates:

April to July 2020

Elements of a High Quality Planning Service this study relates to (please select all that apply):

- Quality of outcomes
- Quality of service and engagement
- Governance
- Culture of continuous improvement

Key Markers (please select all that apply):

6 Continuous Improvement

12 Corporate working across services to improve outputs and services for customer benefit

Key Areas of Work (please select the main area of work covered - 1 to 2 options maximum):

&

- Design
- Conservation
- Regeneration
- Environment
- Greenspace
- Town Centres
- Masterplanning
- Local Develop Plan Supplementary Guidance
- Housing Supply
- Affordable Housing
- Economic Development
- Enforcement
- Development Management Processes
- Planning Applications

- Interdisciplinary Working
- Collaborative Working
- Community Engagement
- Placemaking
- Charrettes
- Place Standard
- Performance Monitoring
- Process Improvement
- Project Management
- Skills Sharing
- Staff Training
- Online Systems
- Transport
- Active Travel
- Other

Stakeholders Involved (please select the main stakeholders - 1 to 2 options maximum):

- General Public
- Hard to reach groups
- Local Developers
- Key Agencies

- Planning Committee
- Authority Planning Staff
- Authority Other Staff
- Other (please note)

Overview:

In order to avoid extra pressure on our Natural Heritage Team, at a time when they were understaffed, the Marine Planning Team decided to set out when we consult them on marine planning applications. The intention was to ensure that we made best use of all our resources to help us focus on the marine planning applications and works licence development which were likely to have more significant environmental and natural heritage impacts. Key to achieving this was working together to draft and agree the content of the protocol and how it would operate.

Goals:

Central to this new procedure was promoting early discussion and engagement between the Marine Planning and Natural Heritage Teams.

In order to achieve this we drafted a Protocol between the 2 teams which covered:

- When we shall not consult the Natural Heritage Team
- When we will consult the Natural Heritage Team Aquaculture
- When we will consult the Natural Heritage Team Works Licences
- Main issues that should be considered in responses
- Timescales for responding
- Future review and monitoring.

The main goal was to achieve focused responses to aid the determination of applications, and that these were received in reduced timescales.

The protocol also focused on achieving better communication and implementing early discussions between the 2 teams.

Outcomes:

The development of the Protocol has led to more effective working relationships between our Marine Planning and Natural Heritage Teams. Key outcomes include:

- More effective early discussions and highlighting of potential issues
- Better communication and working relationships
- Focused consultation responses
- Reduction in time spent on deciding when to consult
- Reduction in time and resources invested in responding to developments which have low risk of impacts upon environmental/natural heritage.

The Protocol has also improved our working with NatureScot as it clarifies the situations when we will seek their advice and responses in line with their published guidance. To help enable this we involved them in the drafting of the protocol. This approach has also helped to avoid duplication of comments and avoids conflict.

To date and upon review, the protocol has not resulted in any negative effects, and we continue to work closely with the Natural Heritage team in order to develop working relationships. It is also intended that similar protocols are developed between other teams in the planning service in the future.

Name of key officer

Simon Pallant (Team Leader – Coastal Zone Management Team)

Case Study Title:

Marine Planning Team: Pre-Application Service

Location and Dates:

November 2020 and Beyond

Elements of a High Quality Planning Service this study relates to (please select all that apply):

- Quality of outcomes
- Quality of service and engagement
- Governance
- Culture of continuous improvement

Key Markers (please select all that apply):

3 Early collaboration with applicants and consultees on planning applications

6 Continuous Improvement (previous identified service improvement)

Key Areas of Work (please select the main area of work covered - 1 to 2 options maximum):

&

- Design
- Conservation
- Regeneration
- Environment
- Greenspace
- Town Centres
- Masterplanning
- Local Develop Plan Supplementary Guidance
- Housing Supply
- Affordable Housing
- Economic Development
- Enforcement
- Development Management Processes
- Planning Applications

- Interdisciplinary Working
- Collaborative Working
- Community Engagement
- Placemaking
- Charrettes
- Place Standard
- Performance Monitoring
- Process Improvement
- Project Management
- Skills Sharing
- Staff Training
- Online Systems
- Transport
- Active Travel
- Other

Stakeholders Involved (please select the main stakeholders - 1 to 2 options maximum):

- General Public
- Hard to reach groups
- Local Developers
- Key Agencies

- Planning Committee
- Authority Planning Staff
- Authority Other Staff
- Other (please note)

Overview:

In our previous PPF Report we identified the service improvement to formalise the preapplication service for aquaculture applications. We have since completed this action to also include works licences (which cover a range of marine developments such as sub-sea cables, seaweed farming, piers, jetties, sea outfalls) and the service has continued to develop.

Goals:

By formalising the pre-application service for both aquaculture and works licence applications that are determined by the Council, we set out to:

- Monitor the number of pre-applications received each year.
- Link pre-application advice and responses in our Uniform system to help improve effectiveness and efficiency in subsequent applications that were received.
- Provide a more effective and consistent service for customers/applicants.
- Reduce the time spent on responding to ad-hoc queries from developers.

Outcomes:

The help reach our goals we devised a pre-application form that potential applicants/developers could submit with their queries. This coincided with the update to our planning service webpages in November 2020 (also a previous identified service improvement)

Our webpage now includes a section of pre-application advice and link to the form:

www.shetland.gov.uk/coastal-marine-planning/make-marine-planning-application

We discussed and publicised these changes with our local aquaculture and development industry to make them aware of the revised approach.

Using this approach and pre-application form has resulted in a number of benefits, including

- Better focused pre-application responses, ensuring the right development is happening in the right place
- Provision of clear and impartial advice
- Reduction in time and resource spent on going back and forth with informal queries
- Value-added subsequent submitted planning applications. Resulting in less issues arising.

To date in 2021 we have received over 30 pre-applications through the new system. This is a marked increase on previous years, especially for works licence developments. The change appears to be well received by industry and we have forthcoming meetings coming up where we intend to gauge their views.

Our next stage will be to monitor time taken to respond and examine how we can continue to improve timescales and effectiveness of responses.

Name of key officer

Simon Pallant (Team Leader – Coastal Zone Management Team)

Case Study Title:

Online Developers Workshops - MS Teams

Location and Dates:

March 2021

Elements of a High Quality Planning Service this study relates to (please select all that apply):

- Quality of outcomes
- Quality of service and engagement
- Governance
- Culture of continuous improvement

Key Markers (please select all that apply):

- 6. Continuous Improvement (previous identified service improvement)
- 11. Production of regular and proportionate policy advice, for example through supplementary guidance, on information required to support applications

Key Areas of Work (please select the main area of work covered - 1 to 2 options maximum):

&

- Design
- Conservation
- Regeneration
- Environment
- Greenspace
- Town Centres
- Masterplanning
- Local Develop Plan Supplementary Guidance
- Housing Supply
- Affordable Housing
- Economic Development
- Enforcement
- Development Management Processes
- Planning Applications

- Interdisciplinary Working
- Collaborative Working
- Community Engagement
- Placemaking
- Charrettes
- Place Standard
- Performance Monitoring
- Process Improvement
- Project Management
- Skills Sharing
- Staff Training
- Online Systems
- Transport
- Active Travel
- Other

Stakeholders Involved (please select the main stakeholders - 1 to 2 options maximum):

- General Public
- Hard to reach groups
- Local Developers
 - Key Agencies

- Planning Committee
- Authority Planning Staff
- Authority Other Staff
- Other (please note)

Overview:

In our previous PPF Report we identified the service improvement to plan and deliver online Developers Workshops. These were delivered via MS Teams in March 2021.

Goals:

Given that the Council Planning Service staff continued to work from home during the reporting period, we decided that we needed to adopt different approaches to communicating with the development industry. We therefore looked into delivering

online presentations/workshops via MS Teams during our last PPF report, and decided to take a new approach by delivering the workshop online.

The mains goals were to:

- Continue to deliver our successful annual developer workshops during the coronavirus pandemic to demonstrate that we were 'open for business'.
- Maintain and improve communication with developers.
- Trial the use of MS Teams workshops/presentations to gauge their effectiveness and decide whether they could be used more frequently.
- Provide a range of topics for developers to choose from.
- Work collaboratively with our Building Standards team, to deliver the workshops jointly and use/share the contacts we have developed.

Outcomes:

The workshops were delivered during the final week of March 2021, and covered a range of topics:

- Development Management 'Coronavirus and Planning'
- Development Plans Biodiversity Net Gain
- Marine and Coastal Planning Works Licence Process and Pre-Application
- Building Standards Remote Verification Inspections

To help us plan for the workshops, we held a number of staff sessions to develop our ideas and approaches. After looking at a range of online potential IT programmes to deliver the workshop, we decided to use MS Teams, as this has worked well for us during the Coronavirus pandemic and has become a fundamental part of our working pratice and communication, both internally and externally.

As shown above a total of 4 workshop topics were offered to developers. These were attended by a number of developers and allowed for a positive approach to covering technical topics online. The presentations can be viewed here:

https://www.shetland.gov.uk/planning-building/developers-workshop/1

The main benefits of delivering our developers workshop this way have been:

- Providing a flexible approach to delivering our workshops.
- Easy sharing of information with developers.
- Listening to feedback, and making positive changes. Developers have previously said that they would like to attend workshops, but have been unable to attend due to timing or work commitments. Delivering them this way enabled us to share the presentations with them.

We intend to use this approach again in the future as it also provides an effective option for ad-hoc events when we need to provide updates to developers. We will also consider recording presentations in the future so that they can be shared more widely.

Name of key officer

Iain McDiarmid (Head of Planning)

Suzanne Shearer (Team Leader - Development Plans)

Aaron Kerr (Team Leader – Building Standards)

John Holden (Team Leader – Development Management)

Simon Pallant (Team Leader – Coastal Zone Management Team)

Part 3: Service improvements

Service Improvements 2021-22

In the coming year we will:

- Work with Promote Shetland on a new recruitment drive to look at different approaches
- Update our guidance on Local Review and implement the additional improvements identified by our recent Audit.
- Plan and deliver online engagement as part of LDP2.
- Monitor number of marine planning applications and work licence applications subject to pre-application advice.

Delivery of our service improvement actions in 2020-21:

Committed improvements and actions

Complete?

- Use MS Planner as project management tool Yes (MS Planner has been used by the Development Plans Team)
- Explore the use of online engagement tools during the MIR phase of LDP2 Yes and will be Develop as further Service Improvement (this was completed and researched, our MIR consultation will include online engagement tools)
- Plan and deliver online Developers Workshops Yes
- Formalise our pre-application advice service for aquaculture applications. - Yes
- Develop protocols for internal consultations on marine and land-use planning applications - Yes

 Update our planning service website to coincide with the launch of the new council website in October 2020 - Yes, (new website was launched in November 2020)

?



Part 4: National Headline Indicators (NHI)

The National Headline Indicators (NHI) are a detailed list of work programme information that each planning service needs to collate in-house. They are designed by HOPS to allow for ongoing measurement of performance. The template below allows for 2018/19 and 2019/20 to be recorded in the same manner as it has been in previous years. Additional guidance on completion is included in the coloured sections within the template itself.

A: NHI Key outcomes - Development Planning:

Development Planning	2019-20 2020-21		Guidance on what to include
Local and Strategic Development Planning:			
Age of local/strategic development plan(s) at end	5 years	6 years and	Number of years and whole months passed starting from date(s) existing local or
of reporting period	and 6	6 months	strategic development plan(s) were adopted /approved.
	months		
Requirement: less than 5 years			
Will the local/strategic development plan(s) be	N	N	Using the development plan scheme in force on 31st March at the end of the reporting
replaced by their 5 th anniversary according to the			year, is the expected adoption/ approval date of each plan less than 5 years after the
current development plan scheme?			date the current plan was adopted/approved?
Has the expected date of submission of the plan	Y-later/	Y-later/	Comparison of the development plan scheme at the start of the reporting period with
to Scottish Ministers in the development plan			the one in force at the end of the reporting period
scheme changed over the past year?			
Were development plan scheme	Υ	Υ	Both the timing and nature of engagement should be considered here. Exceeding the
engagement/consultation commitments met			commitments given in qualitative terms should not be seen as requiring a negative
during the year?			response. However, commitments missed by more than a month should be reported
			and justified.

Effective Land Supply and Delivery of Outputs ¹			
Established housing land supply	1417 units	1414 units	This indicator will usually be captured by a Housing Land Audit on an annual basis. The most up-to-date figure should be given, with the base date also being reported. All housing tenures should be included. Established housing land supply: the total housing land supply including both unconstrained and constrained sites. This will include the effective housing land supply, plus the remaining capacity for sites under construction, sites with planning consent, sites in adopted local development plans and where appropriate other buildings and land with agreed potential for housing development Circular 2/2010 Glossary http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/212607/0103970.pdf
5-year effective housing land supply programming	1030 units	1028 units	This indicator will usually be captured by a Housing Land Audit on an annual basis. The most up-to-date approved figure should be given, with the base date also reported. All housing tenures should be included. Effective housing land supply: the programming of that part of the established housing land supply which is free or expected to be free of development constraints, and will therefore be available for the construction of housing in the next 5 years. This is influenced by the rate of delivery. Circular 2/2010 Glossary http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/212607/0103970.pdf SPP(2014) para 110 & 125 http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0045/00453827.pdf

Please provide the housing land audit year utilised and state whether draft or final. The most up to date audit available at the time of submitting the PPF should be utilised which will either be the draft 2020 or final 2019.

¹ Audit Year

5-year effective land supply total capacity	n/a	n/a	This indicator will usually be captured by a Housing Land Audit on an annual basis. The
			most up-to-date approved figure should be given, with the base date also report. All
			housing tenures should be included.
			Effective housing land supply total capacity: this is the total remaining capacity of the
			part of the established housing land supply which is free or expected to be free of
			development constraints, and will therefore be available for the construction of
			housing in the next 5 years. For example, if a site of 110 units is delivering 20 units per
			annum, the 5-year effective land supply is 100 units and the 5-year effective land
			supply total capacity is 110 units.
			Circular 2/2010 Glossary
			http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/212607/0103970.pdf
			<u>SPP(2014) para 110 & 125 http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0045/00453827.pdf</u>
5-year housing supply target	710 units	710 units	This is the total number of additional homes (all tenure) that the development plan
			seeks over the following 5-year period. Under SPP (2014) this is called the housing
			supply target, although previously this was often referred to as the housing
			requirement. However, for the avoidance of doubt, it is not the housing land
			requirement which includes a margin of flexibility to ensure the housing supply target
			can be met. If the target is updated in light of historic completions, this should be
E year offective housing land supply /ta are	7.2 400 77	7.2	specified.
5-year effective housing land supply (to one	7.3 years	7.3 years	Calculation of the 5-year supply using the figures provided above (5-year effective housing land supply & 5-year housing supply target) using the following formula:
decimal place)			nousing land supply & 5-year nousing supply largely using the joilowing jornala:
			$= \left(\frac{5-year\ effective\ housing\ land\ supply\ (units)}{5-year\ housing\ supply\ target\ (units)}\right)*5$
			500 (0044) 440 0 405
			SPP (2014) para 110 & 125
			http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0045/00453827.pdf

Housing approvals	162 units	60 units	Total number of units (all tenures) consented during the reporting period.
Housing completions over the last 5 years	390 units	367 nits	Total number of new homes (all tenures) completed over the preceding 5-year period.
Marketable employment land supply	149.2 ha	149.2 ha	This indicator will usually be captured by a business or employment land audit on an annual basis. The most up-to-date figure should be given, with the base date also reported. Marketable employment land — land which as well as meeting business requirements, such land should have a secure planning status, be serviced or serviceable within 5 years, and be accessible by walking, cycling and public transport. SPP2 (2003) para 10 (http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/47007/0026443.pdf) and SPP (2014) para 101 (http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/0045/00453827.pdf).
Employment land take-up during reporting year	1.08 ha	0 ha	Quantity of land removed from the marketable supply due to the start of work on site during the reporting year (or the most recent 12-month period available), specifying the period concerned.

B: NHI Key outcomes – Development Management:

Development Management:	2020-21	2019-20	Guidance on what to include	
Project Planning				
Percentage and number of applications subject	23	23	Planning applications decided during the year on which the planning authority had	
to pre-application advice	9.5%	7.1%	provided pre-application advice to the applicant normally including written advice. A number and percentage for this indicator is required.	
Percentage and number of major applications subject to processing agreement	0 %	0 %	Applications decided during the year for which there had been a processing agreement, shared and understood and agreed between the applicant and planning authority, including a scheduled timetable for handling of the application. A number and percentage for this indicator is required.	
Decision Making				
Application approval rate	99.5%	97.8%	Percentage figures; to be provided by the Scottish Government (Analytical Services), drawn from the data provided by planning authorities.	
Delegation rate	100%	99.4%	Percentage figures; to be provided by the Scottish Government (Analytical Services), drawn from the data provided by planning authorities.	
Validation	17%	15%	The percentage of applications which are validated upon first receipt.	
Decision-making Timescales				
Major Developments	54.1weeks	40.1weeks	Average number of weeks from receipt of a valid planning application to decision. Figures to be provided by the Scottish Government (Analytical Services), drawn from the data provided by planning authorities. The figures used in the PPF report should be based on 'all applications' and not 'post – August 2009 applications'.	
Local developments (non-householder)	14.1weeks	14.0weeks	-	
Householder developments	12.4weeks	8.3weeks	-	
Legacy Cases				
Number cleared during reporting period	3	4	Applications which are more than one-year-old. Provide details of the number of legacy cases cleared during the reporting period and the number remaining (as at 31 March).	
Number remaining	3	8	-	

C: Enforcement activity

	2020-21	2019-20	Guidance on what to include
Time since enforcement charter published /	1 year		Position as at 31 March. The number of months since the authority's enforcement
reviewed	6 months	6 months	charter was last published or reviewed and re-published.
Requirement: review every 2 years			http://www.shetland.gov.uk/planningcontrol/documents/EnforcementCharter2019.pdf
			Include hyperlink to the latest version of the charter.
Complaints lodged and investigated	92	82	Total enquiries made or complaints lodged about possible planning breaches which have
			been investigated.
Breaches identified – no further action taken	-	-	Potential breaches of planning control investigated.
Cases closed	65	56	Cases closed within this timeframe.
Notices served	0	0	Formal notices served including; enforcement notices; breach of condition notices;
			planning contravention notices; stop notices; temporary stop notices; fixed penalty
			notices, and Section 33 notices
Direct Action	0	0	Cases where direct action has been taken to resolve the breach
Reports to Procurator Fiscal	0	0	Reports passed to the Procurator Fiscal
Prosecutions	0	0	Number of prosecutions on planning enforcement activity led by the authority

D: NHI Key outcomes - Commentary

Commentary	Guidance on what to include
Short contextual statement	
Difficulties in recruitment and retention and increasing activity (applications and enforcement) from developments like large wind farms, and complex applications including a space port.	You can use the text box to refer to the NHI table and provide a short contextual statement, focussing on reasons/factors which have influenced your authority's performance and any increase or decrease on past results. Authorities should focus on decision making timescales, however this text box can also be used to provide relevant context for the development land supply and delivery figures, such as the area or percentage of land covered by environmental designations or national parks. It should be used to explain any obvious 'jumps' in figures between years whether this is housing land supply, housing approvals or other changes which would benefit from further context.

Part 5: Scottish Government Official Statistics

Scottish Government Official Statistics are drawn from quarterly returns by planning authorities. They are collated into an annual set of figures that is published on the Scottish Government website. The template below allows the information from these returns to be recorded in a consistent format. Additional guidance on how to access the statistics is included within the template itself.

A: Decision-making timescales (based on 'all applications' timescales)

Timescales	2020-21	2020-21	2019-20	Guidance on what to include
Overall				
Major developments	2	54.1weeks	40.1 weeks	Decision-making timescales
				Information on decision-making timescales for planning and other applications
Local developments (non-householder)	141	14.1	14.0 weeks	will be provided by the Scottish Government (Analytical Services), drawn from
		weeks		the data provided by planning authorities. The figures used in the PPF report
 Local: less than 2 months 	(20.6%)	6.7	6.6	must be based on the 'all applications' timescale. You can simply copy/paste in
 Local: more than 2 months 	(79.4%)	16.0	19.1	the 2017-18 full year table we publish for the authority on the Scottish
Householder developments	31	12.4	8.3 weeks	Government website. You can use the information you receive prior to its
		weeks		publication on the web.
Local: less than 2 months	(19.4%)	5.9	5.7	
Local: more than 2 months	(80.6%)	14.0	11.6	www.gov.scot/Topics/Statistics/Browse/Planning/Publication
Housing Developments				
Major	0	- weeks	45.1 weeks	It is important that the statistics give an accurate and reliable account of the
				timescales for handling applications. There will be an opportunity for planning
Local housing developments	50	15.4weeks	14.7weeks	authorities, when providing their data to the Scottish Government, to highlight
		6.3		particular cases where substantial, and clearly unavoidable, delays have
 Local: less than 2 months 	(14.0%)	16.8	6.0	occurred. Additionally, the planning authority should highlight at that time any
Local: more than 2 months	(86.0%)		16.9	applications where there has been written agreement between the authority
				and the applicant that no action should be taken on the application during a
Business and Industry				specified period. The figures provided by the Scottish Government for this
Major	0	-weeks	29.3 weeks	

Local business and industry developments	11	20.7 weeks	15.0 weeks	section may therefore have been reasonably adjusted to provide a more accurate marker of performance.
 Local: less than 2 months 	(0%)	-	6.5	For applications where the authority and applicant have agreed a timescale
Local: more than 2 months	(100%)	20.7	19.4	different from the 2 month and 4 month targets, for example where there are
				processing agreements in place, the statistics on decision times will be adjusted
				to reflect these agreements.
EIA Developments	2	62.1weeks	-weeks	
Other Consents				Consents and certificates: Listed buildings and Conservation area consents,
 As listed in the guidance(right) 	63	9.6 weeks	7.8 weeks	Control of Advertisement consents, Hazardous Substances consents,
				Established Use Certificates, certificates of lawfulness of existing use or
				development, notification on overhead electricity lines, notifications and
				directions under GPDO Parts 6 & & relating to agricultural and forestry
	'			development and applications for prior approval by Coal Authority or licensed
				operator under classes 60 & 62 of the GPDO.
Planning/legal agreements				Legal obligations associated with a planning permission; concluded under
Major: average time	0	-weeks	-weeks	section 75 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 or section 69
Local: average time	0	-weeks	118.7	of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973
			weeks	

B: Decision-making: local reviews and appeals

		0	riginal deci	ision uphel	d	
	Total number of decisions	202	0-21	2019-	-2020	
Туре	No.	No.	%	No.	%	Guidance on what to include
Local reviews	1	1	100	1	33.3	Information on local review and appeal decisions will be provided by the Scottish Government (Analytical Services), drawn from the data provided by planning
Appeals to Scottish Ministers	0		-		0	authorities.

C: Context

A very difficult year as we continue to carry long term vacant posts. We are having difficulty finding time to focus on our new recruitment drive but this will have to be our priority and we hope for better success this time. The Planning Service has continued to deliver a high-quality service.

Part 6: Workforce Information

Workforce information should be a snapshot of the authorities planning staff in position on the <u>31st of March 2020</u>. The information requested in this section is an integral part of providing the context for the information in parts 1-5. The template below allows the information to be recorded in a consistent format, additional guidance on what to include is within the template itself.

	Tier 1 Chief Executive	Tier 2 <i>Director</i>	Tier 3 Head of Service	Tier 4 Manager	Guidance on what to include
Head of Planning Service			1	3	Please note the tier of the most senior member of planning staff
(Coastal Zone Manager, Team Leader – Development Plans & Heritage, Team Leader – Development Management)					

Staff Age Profile	Headcount	Guidance on what to include
Under 30	1	Approximate age profile of the staff noted above
30-39	4	
40-49	(8	
50 and over	7	

RTPI Chartered Staff	Headcount	Guidance on what to include
Chartered staff	9	Approximate numbers of staff which are MRTPI

Staff Structure	Structure Diagram	Guidanse on what to include
Stall Structure	Structure Diagram	Guidance on what to include

Noting the roles and job titles of staff	Due to changes in local authority structures it has become increasingly difficult to measure the	
within the planning authority hierarchy	different ways in which to allocate staff to roles. HOPS have decided it is a better representation	
	include a staff structure diagram. Examples of these can be found below:	
	<u>Inverclyde PPF 2018</u> page 37 or <u>Fife PPF 2018</u> page 56	

Text box: short contextual statement – please describe the staffing set up

Part 7: Planning Committee Information

The template below allows the information on the number of meetings and site visits during 2019-20 to be recorded in a consistent format, additional guidance on what to include is within the template itself.

Committee & Site Visits	Number per year	Guidance on what to include
Full council meetings		
Planning committees	10	References to committees also include National Park Authority Boards.
Area committees		Where relevant
Committee site visits	0	Number of sites (i.e. applications) visited by committee.
Local Review Body	5	This relates to the number of meetings of the LRB. The number of applications going to LRB are reported elsewhere.
LRB site visits	0	Number of sites (i.e. applications) visited by the LRB.



	Performance Marker	Measure	Policy Background to Marker	Part of PPF report best suited to evidence this marker
1	Decision making: authorities demonstrating continuous evidence of reducing average timescales for all development types	During the reporting year we processed 2 complex major planning applications. These were for major developments of construction compounds with tracks and access roads associated with the development of an already s36 consented large windfarm in the central mainland of Shetland. Both the proposals had a number of complex issues requiring to be addressed before they could be determined.	Official Statistics and PPF reports	NHI Scottish Government Official Statistics
2	Project management: offer of processing agreements (or other agreed project plan) made to prospective applicants in advance of all major applications and availability publicised on planning authority website	 Offered for all major planning applications Recent examples of applications which used processing agreements include a number of fish farm applications. Processing agreements are publicised on our website along with the Scottish Government's Processing Agreement Template. https://www.shetland.gov.uk/planning-application 	Modernising the Planning System (Audit Scotland); SG website / template	NHI Quality of Service and Engagement;
3	Early collaboration with applicants and consultees on planning applications:	We continue to promote and use the Quality Audit process for a number of developments.	White Paper; Delivering Planning Reform;	NHI Quality of Service and Engagement

		Due emplicati	diamanta da	Diamaina Dafa	
	- availability and promotion of pre-	• •	discussions for land-use planning	Planning Reform	
	application discussions for all	applications are promoted.		Next Steps	
	prospective applications				
	- clear and proportionate requests for	Additionally, the	majority of our marine planning		
	supporting information	applications invo	olved detailed pre-application discussions		
		• •	he Marine Planning Team. This results in		
		=	evelopments and helps ensure we get the		
		= '	nt in the right place. In the coming year we		
			ise this service for the Marine Planning		
		Team so we can	better monitor, improve and report on the		
		service we provi	de in next year's PPF.		
4	Legal agreements: conclude (or reconsider)	Reducing numb	per of live applications more than 6 months	Official statistics;	Quality of Service and Engagement
	applications within 6 months of 'resolving	after resolu	tion to grant (from same time last year)	PPF reports;	Governance
	to grant ²			evidence of	
				delays to major	
				developments	
5	Enforcement charter updated / re-		Within 2 years	Planning Act	NHI
	published		nforcement charter was re-published in	(s158A)	
		2019.			
	PROMOTING THE PLAN-LED SYSTEM				
6	Continuous improvements:	Progress on all	Delivering Planning Reform; PPF Report	Culture of Continuous Improvement	
	-show progress/improvement in relation to	commitments		Serv	rice Improvement Plan
	PPF National Headline Indicators		We have achieved the service improvements		
	-progress ambitious and relevant service		that we set last year.		
	improvement commitments identified				
	through PPF report				

² This will require production of supporting guidance, following wider stakeholder input

7	LDP (or LP) less than 5 years since adoption	N	Planning Act (s16); Scottish Planning Policy	NHI Quality of Outcomes
			Our 2019 <u>Development Plan Scheme</u> for LDP2 was approved by the Council's Development Committee in the reporting period.	Quality of Service and engagement
8	Development plan scheme demonstrates next LDP: - on course for adoption within 5-year cycle - project planned and expected to be	N	As above for timescales for LDP2 as set out in our NHI and Development Plan Scheme. The LDP2 process is project planned and	NHI Quality of Outcomes Quality of service and engagement
	delivered to planned timescale	Y	managed. During the next reporting period we have identified the service improvement to use MS Planner to help manage and help coordinate this process, including how we schedule tasks. This is of elevated importance given that all staff have been working from home since March 2020 due to Covid 19. We shall include a fuller description of this and the outcomes in our next PPF report.	
9	Elected members engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation	Evidence of activity	Call for Sites engagement and regular updates are provided to elected members and the chair of our development committee. We held a workshop with elected members on this process. Additionally Planning Aid for Scotland (PAS) were commissioned to deliver training to elected members on the planning system. This included the LDP2 process and how they will be involved. Please see Case Study	Quality of Service and Engagement Governance

			above on PAS elected member and community council training	
10	Cross-sector stakeholders, including industry, agencies and Scottish Government, engaged early (pre-MIR) in development plan preparation	Evidence of activity	We provide regular updates on the LPD2 process to our community council liaison officer. A session on LDP2 and Call for Sites was held with the Association of Community Councils in March 2019. Following the Call for Sites consultation, we provide regular updates to all those who responded and asked to be contacted. This includes key agencies, other council services.	Quality of Service and Engagement Governance
11	Production of regular and proportionate policy advice, for example through supplementary guidance, on information required to support applications	Evidence of activity	We continue to hold developers workshops on a number of planning matters to support proportionate requests for supporting information. In November 2019 this year's workshop focused on the theme of water and drainage. Scottish Water representatives attended and we also used the workshop to discuss the expectations and requirements of our new flood risk guidance on climate change allowances that was published in April 2020. The Marine Planning Team also held a number of meetings with aquaculture industry in January 2020. This provided the opportunity to discuss a number of new approaches to shellfish farm developments and fish farm developments, including wild fish monitoring approaches and carrying capacity calculations for mussel farms. We involved industry throughout this process.	Quality of Service and Engagement

We also discussed the future update of our	
supplementary guidance and this provided	
the opportunity to go through new	
proportionate approaches that could be	
developed.	

Page Break

е втеак		
SIMPLIFYING AND STREAMLINING		
improve outputs and services for customer Denefit (e.g. protocols; joined-up services; number single contact; joint pre-application	Por our Call for Sites Process our Development Plans Team held a number of engagement sessions with other Council services, in particular transport planning.	Quality of Service and Engagement Governance
S v a S p o	n August 2019 the Planning Service held a training session with our Economic Development and Community Planning Services. This included presentations and focused group discussions. It helped us all to develop our thinking about how we can work closer together.	
c V M	Ouring the year we have also continued our involvement in Viking Wind Farm environmental working group. This involves a number of Council Services. Corporate working forms a key part of our Quality Audit process, please see examples above.	

	The Re-Create Scalloway process has involved collaboration with a number of Council services – see case study above.		
13	= .	Delivering Planning Reform; Planning Reform Next Steps	Culture of continuous improvement

	DELIVERING DEVELOPMENT	Marine Scotland. It provides an effective way of sharing good practice and discussing emerging issues so that we can all take a coordinated approach. We held regular teleconferences and meetings throughout the reporting period with key agencies including, NatureScot, Scottish Water, SSE and SEPA. Topics included LDP2, planning and natural heritage and renewable energy developments. We have also been actively involved in the Scottish Parliaments inquiry into Regional Marine Planning. Our planning service is keen to share good practice and experience of our approaches to date in Shetland and we expect further engagement during the next reporting period.	
14	Stalled sites/legacy cases:	Please see planning statistics	Governance
	conclusion/withdrawal of planning applications more than one-year-old	above.	
15	Developer contributions: clear expectations	Our expectations of developer contributions are clearly set out in	Quality of service and engagement

- set out in development plan (and/or emerging plan,) and - in pre-application discussions	that we don't require any through LDP Policy.	
	Developer Contributions will be considered for LDP2, although this will be a decision for the	
	Council to take.	

